# **Marshall Islands Public School System**

# SCHOOL ACCREDITATION SYSTEM POLICIES & PROCEDURES



Elementary and Secondary Schools
Republic of Marshall Islands

# **Table of Contents**

lr	ntroduction	3
	Accreditation	3
	Purpose	3
	Regulations	3
С	hapter 1: School Accreditation Regulations	4
	14-37-1 Reference	4
	14-37-2 Purpose	4
	14-37-3 Statutory Requirements	4
	14-37-4 Definitions as used in this chapter	4
	14-37-5 RMI School Accreditation Regulations	4
	14-37-6 Accreditation Criteria	4
	14-37-7 PSS Schools Accreditation Policies & Procedures	5
	14-37-8 Accreditation Committees and School Evaluation Teams	5
	14-37-9 Compliance with the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Regulations and Process	5
	14-37-10 RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process	6
	14-37-11 Issuance of Certificates	6
	14-37-12 Special Measures	6
	14-37-13 Special Incentives	6
	14-37-14 Timetable for the completion of the PSS Schools Accreditation Process	6
	14-37-15 Disputes	7
	14-37-16 Publication of RMI Schools Accreditation Data	7
	14-37-17 International Accreditation Process: Western Association of Schools and Colleges	7
С	hapter 2: Stage One	8
	Stage One: School Evaluation	8
	Conducting Stage One: School Evaluation	8
	Pre-Evaluation	8
	Evaluation	8
	Post-Evaluation	8
	RMI School Accreditation Data Form A	9
С	hapter 3: Standards, Criteria and Rubrics	10
	Standard 1: Leadership	11
	Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 1: Leadership	13
	Standard 2: Teacher Performance	15
	Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 2: Teacher Performance	17
	Standard 3: Data Management	18
	Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 3: Data Management	19
	Standard 4: National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student learning Outcomes	
	Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 4: National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and	

Student Learning Outcomes	211
Standard 5: School Campus, Classrooms and Facilities	22
Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 5: School Campus, Classrooms and Facilities	24
Standard 6: School Improvement Planning	25
Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 6: School Improvement Planning	27
Chapter 4: Classroom Observations	28
Guide to Classroom Observation for School Accreditation	28
Running Record for Classroom Observation	28
Open and closed questions	28
Sample Classroom Observation Running Record	29
Classroom Observation Checklist	30
Scoring the Classroom Observation Checklist	34
Classroom Observation Score summary table	34
Using Classroom observation scores to determine the school accreditation status	34
Chapter 5: After the School Evaluation Visit	35
FORM B: School Evaluation and Classroom Observation Scores	36
Chapter 6: School Self Study	38
Completing the RMI Schools Accreditation System: Stage Two: School Self Study	38
Standard 1: Leadership	40
Standard 2: Teacher Performance	43
Standard 3: Data Management	46
Standard 4: National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student learning Outcomes	49
Standard 5: School Campus, Classrooms and Facilities	52
Standard 6: School Improvement Planning	55
Chapter 7: Special Measures	57

#### Introduction

### Accreditation

In accordance to PL 2013-23, The Public School System requires all public and private elementary and secondary schools in the RMI to meet compulsory standards and engage in the process of accreditation. Accreditation is the affirmation that schools provide a quality education and continue to demonstrate self-improvement. Accreditation provides accountability and raises public confidence in the school system. The RMI Accreditation system is intended to help schools improve all educational services provided to students and also help in the evaluation and improvement of teachers and staff. These Policies and Procedures will be revisited yearly for changes and improvements as needed.

### **Purpose**

For the purpose of effective implementation of the Accreditation Regulations, outlined in this RMI Accreditation Policies & Procedures are the regulations, evaluation processes, curriculum standards and benchmarks and other important administrative information and requirements.

# Regulations

The RMI School Accreditation Regulations are cited in Chapter One of this manual.

### **Chapter 1: School Accreditation Regulations**

#### 14-37-1 Reference

Section 335. Evaluation of Performance of Each School. P.L. 2013-13

#### 14-37-2 Purpose

The PSS Schools Accreditation Regulations, hereinafter referred to as "Accreditation Regulations", are hereby established to ensure that PSS schools are conducive to learning by establishing standards, criteria and procedures for a national system of public and chartered non-public elementary and secondary school accreditation.

b. Schools that provide an environment that is conducive to learning and are in compliance with the minimum standards established by these regulations shall be accredited.

### 14-37-3 Statutory Requirements

These regulations are set forth pursuant to P.L. 2013-23 and The Public School System's rulemaking authority as set forth in Title 14, Chapter 1.

# 14-37-4 Definitions as used in this chapter

These definitions shall apply to terms and concepts used in these accreditation regulations only.

- 1. "Accreditation" shall mean the process of evaluating a school in terms of meeting the required minimum standards and the acknowledgment thereof by RMI PSS through the issuance of a local PSS Certificate of Accreditation.
- 2. "Elementary school" shall mean an institution that teaches and instructs Kindergarten (K) through eighth grade (8). This is the first stage of compulsory education.
- 3. "RMI PSS" shall mean the Republic of the Marshall Islands Public School System.
- 4. "Policies and Procedures" shall mean a set of policies and procedural guidelines established by the Commissioner of Education to include standards, criteria, rubrics and other means by which school performance will be evaluated for purposes of accreditation.
- 5. "Secondary school" shall mean an institution for students intermediate between elementary school and college; grades freshman (9) to senior (12).
- 6. "Minister" shall mean the head of Ministry of Education, Representative of the RMI National Government.
- 7. "MISAT" shall mean the Marshall Islands Standards Assessment Test
- 8. "School" shall mean a public or chartered non-public institution of learning at the elementary or secondary level.
- 9."SIT" shall mean the School Improvement Team.
- 10. "SLO" shall mean Student Learning Outcomes. Each syllabus should include student learning outcomes (SLOs) that describe the knowledge, skills, and abilities students can expect to attain during your course.
- 11. "Commissioner of Education" shall mean the individual who is appointed by the National Board of Education and granted thereby the direct authority to manage and control the daily affairs of The Public School System.

#### 14-37-5 RMI School Accreditation Regulations

The PSS Schools Accreditation Regulations hereinafter referred to as "Regulations", are hereby established to provide standards, criteria and procedures for a national system of public and chartered non-public elementary and secondary school accreditation. All public and chartered non-public elementary and secondary schools in the RMI shall be accredited under the RMI School Accreditation Regulations.

#### 14-37-6 Accreditation Criteria

Criteria for accrediting elementary and secondary schools in the RMI shall be established by the Commissioner of Education in cooperation and consultation with the Minister of Education, Associate Commissioners of Education, Local and National School

Boards of Education, and shall include those factors necessary to provide an adequate classroom environment conducive to learning, including but not limited to the following:

- (a) Philosophy, goals and objectives;
- (b) Organization;
  - 1. Local Board of Education
  - 2. School Advisory Committee
  - 3. Cluster School and/or Cluster PTA
- (c) School and physical facilities;
- (d) Library or access to reading materials in classrooms
- (e) Student counseling services (Secondary Schools)
- (f) Curricular program;
- (g) Extra Curricular Programs or Activities
- (h) Community and parent involvement;
- (i) Finance (Secondary and All Chartered schools)
- (j) Student-teacher ratio; and
- (k) Compliance with minimum 1% academic growth on MISATs for two consecutive years

#### 14-37-7 PSS Schools Accreditation Policies & Procedures Manual

The Commissioner of Education, in collaboration with the Associate Commissioners, shall publish, within 30 days of their adoption, the PSS Schools Accreditation Policies and Procedures. This PSS Schools Accreditation Policies and Procedures will outline Standards; Criteria; Rubrics; Evaluation procedures; Reporting requirements; and other administrative details necessary for the effective implementation of the PSS Schools Accreditation Regulations.

#### 14-37-8 Accreditation Committees and School Evaluation Teams

- a. The Commissioner of Education shall establish a National Accreditation Committee (NAC). The National Accreditation Committee shall be a standing committee. All findings shall be submitted to the NAC by the Commissioner of Education.
- b. The role of the National Accreditation Committee shall be to evaluate Stage One findings for each school and recommend to the Commissioner whether or not a school meets the approved accreditation standards or not.
- c. The National Accreditation Committee shall base its recommendations on school site evaluations by the MSET.
- d. The National Accreditation Committee shall consist of representatives from the following groups:
  - 1. Commissioner of Education
  - 2. Prominent member of the community
  - 3. One RMI PSS staff member
- e. The Commissioner of Education shall establish the PSS School Evaluation Teams (MSET). The MSET shall be responsible for conducting on site school evaluations for the purposes of RMI PSS School Accreditation. The MSET shall consist of education specialists employed by The Public School System.
- f. The MSET shall report to the Director of School Accreditation and the Associate Commissioner of Policy, Planning and Standards.
- g. The Associate Commissioner of Policy, Planning and Standards, shall communicate all findings of the MSET to the Commissioner of Education.

# 14-37-9 Compliance with the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Regulations and Process

- a. All public and chartered non-public elementary and secondary schools in the RMI must be accredited by the PSS unless they are declared to be under Special Measures (see section 14-37-12).
- b. All public and chartered non-public elementary and secondary schools in the RMI shall comply with the requirements of the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process as outlined in the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Policies and Procedures and shall make available, on the day or days of evaluation, all data, records, reports and other information requested by the MSET
- c. Schools may not require notice of a visit, for purposes of evaluation for accreditation by the MSET

#### 14-37-10 RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process

- a. The RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process shall consist of two stages.
- b. Stage One of the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process shall consist of a general inspection conducted by the MSET using the standards, criteria and rubrics published in the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Policies and Procedures.
- c. Schools which satisfy the requirements of Stage One of the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process are ACCREDITED by RMI PSS and shall proceed to Stage Two: the Self Study.
- d. Schools which do not satisfy the requirements of Stage One of the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process and are not accredited by RMI PSS shall not progress to Stage Two.
- e. Stage Two of the RMI PSS Schools Accreditation Process shall consist of a School Self Study Report, which shall be completed by the school improvement team and Atoll School Board and submitted for evaluation to the MSET. An accredited school is required to submit a self study report yearly. If a school fails to submit their yearly self-study by the second year of being accredited, accreditation status will be revoked and the school will have to go through the Stage One process again
- f. The Accreditation Office, through the Associate Commissioner for Policy, Planning and Standards, shall submit to the Commissioner of Education, Stage One and Stage Two reports on all public and chartered non-public schools by January 15<sup>th</sup> of each year. All reports, once approved by the Commissioner of Education, shall be presented to the NAC for final approval or accreditation.

#### 14-37-11 Issuance of Certificates

- a. A school shall be considered Accredited if it has acquired a valid RMI Certificate of Accreditation from The Public School System.
- b. The Public School System shall issue the RMI Certificate of Accreditation to schools that have completed Stage One and that have complied with or exceeded all PSS Schools Accreditation Standards and requirements as outlined in the PSS Schools Accreditation Policies and Procedures, based on appropriate reports from the Commissioner of Education and the National Accreditation Committee. The RMI Certificate of Accreditation shall be valid for a period of three years from the date of issue.

### 14-37-12 Special Measures

- a. The Public School System shall place under Special Measures, for a period of one year, all elementary and secondary schools that do not comply with PSS Schools Accreditation Standards and requirements, as outlined in the PSS Schools Accreditation Policies and Procedures, and which do not have a plan or program in effect to remedy any defects or shortcomings within an agreed upon period of time.
- b. A recommendation for technical assistance will be made for elementary and secondary schools placed under Special Measures. The Accreditation Office, along with the Associate Commissioners for Elementary and Secondary Schools, shall consult with the respective school Principals for appropriate technical assistance to be provided by The Public School System to schools placed under Special Measures. Schools placed under Special Measures will be required to produce a one-year recovery Plan.
- c. After One year under Accredited with Special Measures, the MSET shall re-evaluate the schools using Stage One of the PSS Schools Accreditation Process. Schools under Special Measures that satisfy the requirements of Stage One of the PSS Schools Accreditation Process, as outlined in the PSS Schools Accreditation Manual, shall have their Special Measures status revoked and shall progress to Stage Two.
- d. Schools may remain Accredited with Special Measures for a maximum period of three years. If, after the maximum period has lapsed, a school remains under Special Measures, The Public School System, with approval from the Board of Education, shall remove the principal/headteacher and all teachers from the school and appoint a new principal/headteacher and teachers to the school.

# 14-37-13 Special Incentives

a. In order to provide an incentive for the improvement of schools, the Commissioner of Education may make eligibility for certain categories of funds contingent upon obtaining an RMI Certificate of Accreditation.

#### 14-37-14 Timetable for the completion of the PSS Schools Accreditation Process

- a. Stage One of the PSS Schools Accreditation Process shall be completed annually by **15**<sup>th</sup> **May**. The Accreditation Office, along with the Associate Commissioner of Policy and Planning, shall submit to the Commissioner of Education, all reports, in the format outlined in the PSS Schools Accreditation Manual, on all Stage One evaluations for all applicable schools.
- b. The Accreditation Office, along with the Associate Commissioner for Policy and Planning, shall submit to the Commissioner of Education reports on all Stage Two evaluations for all applicable schools annually by **15**<sup>th</sup> **May.**
- c. MSET shall work on Stage One at schools from **September** to **April** of each school year and submit all findings to the Associate Commissioner for Policy and Planning before **May 15**<sup>th</sup> of that school year. If incomplete, MSET will continue to work with schools on Stage One and submit all findings by next school year. School Improvement Teams and Local School Boards will have until **May 15**<sup>th</sup> of each school year to complete their self-study.

# 14-37-15 Disputes

- a. Principals, teachers, parents or other stakeholders at any school may dispute, in writing, the determination of accreditation status of a school within one month of an issuance of an RMI Certificate of Accreditation or declaration of Special Measures by the Commissioner of Education.
- b. Written disputes, supported by documentary evidence, shall be submitted to the Commissioner of Education through the Accreditation Office.
- c. Upon receipt of a written dispute, the Commissioner of Education will review the documentary evidence and determine whether there are grounds for dispute.
- d. If the Commissioner of Education finds that there are grounds for dispute, the matter will be passed to the National Accreditation Committee.
- e. The National Accreditation Committee may uphold or reject the dispute.
- f. If the dispute is upheld, the National Accreditation Committee shall establish a Special School Evaluation Team composed of Community and MSET members. The Special School Evaluation Team shall conduct on-site evaluations of the school where the dispute arose by carrying out the procedures outlined in the PSS Schools Accreditation Manual.
- g. The Special School Evaluation Team shall report to the National Accreditation Committee.
- h. The National Accreditation Committee shall recommend to the PSS whether the school where the dispute arose meets the approved accreditation standards or not. The decision of the National Accreditation Committee shall be final.

Along with the above mentioned procedures, all disputes will first and foremost follow the policies as written in the PSS Rules and Regulations Title 14, Chapter 42.

#### 14-37-16 Publication of RMI Schools Accreditation Data

- a. The Public School System shall publish school accreditation data including the findings of all School Evaluation Teams, the accreditation certificates awarded to schools, the names of all schools placed under Special Measures and other data deemed by The Public School System to be in the public interest. All data will be maintained in the RMI PSS Accreditation Office.
- b. The Public School System shall publish school accreditation data on the website of the RMI Ministry of Education, on other Government Department websites and through other public information media.
- c. The Public School System shall publish Stage One school accreditation data in January and Stage Two school accreditation data in May of each year.

#### 14-37-17 International Accreditation Process: Western Association of Schools and Colleges

- a. The Ministry shall designate the Western Association of Schools and Colleges of the United States to engage in the process of evaluating the secondary and elementary schools in the Republic for accreditation purposes;
- b. All secondary and elementary schools that are judged to be ready, based on needs assessment, shall engage in the accreditation process prescribed by the accrediting agency;
- c. The Associate Commissioner for Primary or Secondary Schools shall promulgate readiness criteria for elementary or secondary schools respectively based on WASC requirements.

#### **Stage One: School Evaluation**

The School Evaluation is the first stage in the RMI School Accreditation Program. All schools will be evaluated using the RMI School Accreditation System Stage One: School Evaluation visit. Designed to be conducted during a one or two-day visit by the School Evaluation Team, the School Evaluation's underlying purpose is to immediately identify those schools that are or are not prepared to progress to the next stage (Stage Two: Self-Study) of the RMI Schools Accreditation process. Schools that have no more than three determinations at Level 4 are considered to have met all the initial requirements of the evaluation and may progress to Stage Two (School Self-Study) of the accreditation process. Schools that have four or more determinations at Level 4 must enter a period of Accreditation with Special Measures.

Chapter 3 of this School Accreditation Policies and Procedures contains all the Standards, Criteria and Rubrics necessary for an objective evaluation of the school.

### **Conducting Stage One: School Evaluation**

Stage one of the School Evaluation can be broken down into three phases;

- 1. Pre-Evaluation: Before the school evaluation visit.
- 2. Evaluation Visit: During the school evaluation visit.
- 3. Post-Evaluation: After the school evaluation visit.

#### Preparation

- SIPS/SP
- 12 Criteria

#### Evaluation

- 6 Standards
- Classroom Observations

Post Evaluations (MSETS)

REPORTS to be prepared by MSETS and submitted to NAC

#### **Pre-Evaluation**

The Pre-Evaluation Phase consists of adequate preparation by the MSET. Team members should meet to decide on a proper course of action prior to the school visit. Team members should ensure that individual roles are identified and all members are familiar with the Accreditation Manual. Team members should also familiarize themselves with any relevant data available, such as School Improvement Plans, student performance data or teacher certification data.

The School Evaluation Team should complete items 1 to 6 of School Data Form A. School Data Form A is on the cover page of the Stage One: School Evaluation form.

# **Evaluation**

The Evaluation will be conducted using the standards, criteria and rubrics that can be found in Chapter 4 of this manual. Team members should fulfill individual roles and conduct necessary visits to classrooms, offices, and other relevant learning facilities. Team members should ensure that they perform all the necessary steps and procedures specified in this Accreditation Manual. Members should collect copies of any relevant documents as evidence of performance.

#### **Post-Evaluation**

Immediately after the evaluation visit, the MSET should meet to discuss and determine the level of performance in each Criteria, using the rubrics. Documentary or other evidence should be used as much as possible. Determinations for each criteria should be entered on Form B. If more than two classroom observations were conducted, the highest and lowest scoring should be entered. Accreditation status is determined using the formula on Form B.

	RMI School Accreditation Data Form A					
Stage One: Sch	Stage One: School Evaluation					
1. Atoll:		5. Name & status of the school Principal or Head Teacher				
2. School Name & Address:		6. Names & status of School Evaluation Team members				
3. School Code:	4. School Type					

Enrollm	ent Dat	a												
Grade	K	Gr. 1	Gr. 2	Gr. 3	Gr. 4	Gr. 5	Gr. 6	Gr. 7	Gr. 8	Pre-9	Gr. 9	Gr. 10	Gr. 11	Gr. 12
Boys														
Girls														

Teacher & Staff Data					
Name	Grade(s) Taught	Certification Status	Substitute For		

### **Chapter 3: Standards, Criteria and Rubrics**

Standards, criteria, and rubrics were developed to assist the School Evaluation Team members in completing the school assessment.

The six standards are:

- Standard 1. Leadership
- Standard 2. Teacher Performance
- Standard 3. Data Management
- Standard 4. National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes
- Standard 5. School Campus, Classrooms and Facilities
- Standard 6. School Improvement Planning.

Each standard has four criteria and each criterion has four rubrics. The rubrics are designed to assist monitoring teams to make objective assessments. Monitoring teams must collect documentary or other observable and verifiable evidence to confirm their assessments against each rubric.

The use of documentary evidence eliminates subjectivity and supports consistency between schools and between atolls and islands in the application of standards. Suggested items of evidence are included in this guidance document. Copies of important documents, such as School Improvement Plans, should be collected. A digital camera may be used if photocopies are not available.

In addition to rubrics, this manual also includes exemplars illustrating what should be observed in schools that meet the accreditation standards. These exemplars are shown after each set of standards, criteria and rubrics. During the school evaluation visit, School Evaluation Teams should gather evidence, make observations and select which rubric best describes the condition observed and what level a school is in.

Standard	l 1: Leadership			
Criteria	Level 4 - exceeds the accreditation standards.	Level 3 - complies with the ccreditation standards.	Level 2 - substantially complies and has a plan to correct deficiencies.	Level 1 - does not meet the accreditation standards.
1.1	- The principal has very high expectations for students and teachers.  - The principal ensures that more than 200 instructional days are delivered and can provide documentary evidence.  - Students are responsible and student behavior is very orderly and respectful towards adults and other students.  - The SIP contains goals focused on improving student performance and behavior and there is evidence of implementation.	- The principal has higher than average expectations for students and teachers The principal can provide evidence that the minimum of 200 instructional days is delivered The mandatory number of instructional hours is delivered Student behavior is very orderly and respectful The SIP contains goals focused on improving student performance and behavior and there is evidence of implementation.	- The principal has average expectations for students and teachers The principal can provide some evidence suggesting that the minimum of 200 instructional days is delivered Student behavior is orderly and respectful The SIP contains some goals focused on improving student performance and behavior but there is little evidence of implementation.	- The principal has low expectations for students and teachers The principal cannot provide evidence of the delivery of the mandatory number of instructional days or hours Student behavior is unruly The SIP contains no goals focused on improving student performance and behavior or there is no evidence of implementation.
1.2	- The principal has a clear philosophy of education and is an expert in curriculum and instruction.  - The principal manages a program of teacher collaboration for instructional planning.  - The principal conducts weekly planning meetings with teachers and non-teaching staff.  - The SIP contains goals focused on improving planning or continuity and progression between grades throughout the school and there is evidence of implementation.	- The principal is familiar with the curriculum and instruction The principal regularly conducts planning meetings with teachers and staff - The SIP contains some goals focused on improving student performance and behavior and there is evidence of implementation.	- The principal sometimes conducts planning meetings with teachers and staff The SIP contains some goals focused on improving student performance and behavior but there is little evidence of implementation.	- The principal rarely or never conducts planning meetings with teachers and staff The SIP contains no goals focused on improving planning or continuity and progression between grades throughout the school or there is no evidence of implementation.
1.3	<ul> <li>The principal is involved in a program of continuous professional development and appraisal by supervisors, board members or peers.</li> <li>The principal keeps up to date with innovations in education.</li> <li>The principal creates many opportunities for teachers to engage in continuous professional development activities.</li> <li>The principal mentors teachers and conducts formal and informal training at the school.</li> <li>The SIP contains plans for professional development for teachers and there is evidence of implementation.</li> </ul>	- The principal is involved in a program of professional development and appraisal.  - The principal creates some opportunities for teachers to engage in continuous professional development activities.  - The principal conducts formal and informal training at the school.  - The SIP contains some plans for professional development for teachers and there is evidence of implementation.	- The principal attends some professional development activities conducted by the PSS The SIP contains some plans for professional development, but there is little evidence of implementation.	- The principal rarely or never attends professional development activities conducted by the PSS The SIP contains no plans for teachers to engage in professional development activities or there is no evidence of implementation.

1.4	- The principal conducts a regular program of	- The principal conducts teacher performance	- The principal sometimes conducts	- The principal rarely or never
	teacher performance appraisals. There are	appraisals at least twice per year.	informal classroom observations.	conducts classroom observations.
	regular, structured classroom observations and	- There are regular, structured classroom	- Written reports are not always	- There are no written reports.
	written reports are produced.	observations and written reports are	produced.	- The SIP contains no plans to
	- The principal coaches teachers and conducts	produced.	- The SIP contains some plans to improve	improve teaching and learning
	model lessons where necessary.	- The principal coaches teachers where	teaching and learning through teacher	through teacher appraisal or there is
	- The principal encourages teachers to evaluate	necessary.	appraisal but there is little evidence of	no evidence of implementation.
	their own performance based on student	- The SIP contains some plans to improve	implementation.	
	learning outcomes.	teaching and learning through teacher		
	- The SIP contains plans to improve teaching	appraisal and there is evidence of		
	and learning through teacher appraisal and	implementation.		
	there is evidence of implementation.			

# Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 1: Leadership

The principal that meets accreditation standards provides professional leadership and management for the school. The principal is an active and visible leader in all areas of school management. There is a current SIP in place.

The principal has a clear philosophy of education and can articulate a vision of excellence for the school.

- This is reflected in high expectations for both students and teachers. Students are expected to work hard and perform well in the MISATs and other assessments. This expectation is backed up by a rigorous approach to attendance, punctuality and effective teaching and learning. Student performance in the MISAT is above the National average.
- All students are working at their grade level, using textbooks and learning materials that are appropriate to the grade level. The principal expects teachers and students to be punctual. They are expected to be at school and ready to work at the official starting time. Sanctions are applied and action is taken to address poor punctuality. Daily attendance registers are completed for morning and afternoon sessions.
- Class registers are taken where necessary to prevent unauthorized absence. Written authorization from parents is required following any absence. The principal contacts parents in cases of persistent unauthorized absence. Attendance data is analyzed and targets set to ensure high rates of attendance. There is transparency of student and teacher attendance.

The principal keeps an accurate record of the number of instructional days conducted throughout the school year.

- Unauthorized closures are kept to a minimum. Where an unauthorized closure occurs, a corresponding number of days are added to the school calendar to ensure that all students receive the statutory of no less than 185 days of instruction.
- The principal ensures full compliance with the statutory minimum instructional time, mandated in the RMI PSS Rules and Regulations. The minimum instructional time for Grades 1 to 3 is 1,750 minutes per week, or 6 hours 50 minutes per day. The minimum instructional time for Grades 4 to 8 is 1,800 minutes per week, or 6 hours per day.

The principal is the leading professional educator in the school and is an expert in curriculum and instruction.

• Teachers meet regularly, under the direction of the principal to collaborate and jointly plan programs of instruction. This collaboration ensures that there is continuity and progression between grades throughout the school. The principal conducts regular scheduled planning meetings with teachers and staff to develop and review the curriculum, syllabi, and programs of study and lesson plans.

The principal values continuing professional development and is engaged in some form of personal professional development and appraisal.

Appraisal may be conducted by supervisors, board members or peers. The principal keeps up to date with innovations in
education by reading books and journals and attending conferences. The principal creates many opportunities for teachers to
engage in continuous professional development activities both inside and outside the school. The principal acts as a mentor to
new teachers and other staff and organizes or conducts formal and informal training at the school.

The principal conducts a regular program of teacher performance appraisals.

There are regular, structured classroom observations and objective written reports are produced. Feedback from the principal
on classroom observations is constructive and designed to improve teaching and learning. The principal coaches teachers and
conducts model lessons where necessary. The principal encourages teachers to evaluate their own performance based on
student learning outcomes.

The principal consults and works with teachers, the school board and the PTA to encourage community participation in decision-making, through the School Improvement Plan.

The principal ensures that the school and classroom environments are conducive to learning, safe, orderly, engaging and fulfilling for all students.

• There is a positive discipline program in place, with incentives for good behavior. Corporal punishment is never used under any circumstances and infractions are dealt with by counseling.

	circumstances and infractions are dealt with by counseling.				
Su	ggested sources of evidence of performance for Standard 1				
1.1	Student performance data: student attendance registers and data: teacher attendance data: textbook inventory: school log book: school calendar: discussions with school board and community				
1.2	Curriculum and syllabus documents: teaching programs: lesson plans: written evidence of regular planning meetings				

Principal appraisal reports: professional development materials and educational texts: evidence of professional development activities: discussions with school board
 Principal's written reports on classroom observation: written feedback on classroom observations: teacher appraisal reports: teacher self-appraisal documents: discussions with teachers

Standard	2: Teacher Performance			
Criteria	Level 4 - exceeds the accreditation standards.	Level 3 - complies with the accreditation standards.	Level 2 - substantially complies and has a plan to correct deficiencies.	Level 1 - does not meet the accreditation standards.
2.1	- All teachers prepare standardized lesson plans that meet PSS guidelines and include differentiated activities that meet the needs of all learners, including those with IEPs All plans include sequenced, measurable learning objectives from the National Curriculum Measurable, observable assessment is integrated into lessons Assessment is used to guide instruction at all time, as evidenced in lesson plans.	- Most teachers prepare standardized lesson plans that meet PSS guidelines and include differentiated activities that meet the needs of all learners, including those with IEPs Most plans include sequenced, measurable learning objectives from the National Curriculum Measurable, observable assessment is integrated into most lessons Student assessment and observation records are kept.	- Some teachers prepare lesson plans that include teaching and learning activities Plans include some learning objectives from the National Curriculum. Student assessment records consist mostly of test scores There is some evidence of plans to improve lesson planning to meet PSS guidelines.	- Teachers rarely prepare lesson plans Student assessment records are not kept The SIP contains no plans to improve lesson planning, to use differentiation for students with IEPs, or use assessment to measure progress and guide instruction.
2.2	- All teachers create high quality, stimulating classroom learning environments Classrooms are rich in print and visual displays that are related to the current learning objectives There are designated areas of the classrooms where topical displays, book corners or activity centers are located A wide range of student work of very high quality is neatly displayed and clearly labeled.	- Most teachers attempt to create high quality, stimulating classroom learning environments Classrooms are rich in print and visual displays that are related to the current learning objectives Most classrooms have reading corners or activity centers Recent student work of good quality is neatly displayed.	- Some teachers create adequate classroom learning environments Classrooms contain some visual displays; displays may be outdated Classroom arrangements may include some variety, including activity centers and room libraries Limited student work is displayed and may be outdated The SIP contains plans to improve classroom environments and there is evidence of implementation.	- Classrooms do not contain visual displays Desks are in rows and there are no classroom room libraries or activity centers - No student work is displayed There does not seem to be any attempt to create a stimulating classroom environment The SIP contains no plans to improve classroom environments or there is no evidence of implementation of plans.
2.3	<ul> <li>All teachers manage their time effectively to maximize students' learning opportunities.</li> <li>Lessons start and finish on time.</li> <li>Students are on-task and engaged in learning activities for most of the lesson time.</li> <li>A variety or teaching and learning strategies are used, including strategies suitable for students with IEPs.</li> <li>Student work demonstrates higher-order thinking, inquiry, observation, analysis and problem solving skills.</li> </ul>	- Most lessons start and finish on time. Students are on-task and engaged in learning activities for most of the lesson time A variety of teaching and learning strategies are used, including strategies suitable for students with IEPs Student work demonstrates their engagement in a range of creative activities.	- Lessons sometimes start on time. Students are often off-task or waiting There is little variety in the teaching and learning strategies used and includes mostly repetitive drill Teachers do not take account of students' IEPs Student work and assignments lack creativity and critical thinking opportunities The SIP contains plans to improve teaching and learning strategies and there is evidence of implementation.	- Teachers are unorganized and not prepared Lessons rarely start on time Drills and rote instruction are used most of the time and there is little variety in instructional strategies Teachers are not aware of students' IEPs Student work is mostly fill in the blank and copying The SIP contains no plans to improve

2.4	- All teachers actively participate with	- Most teachers participate in a school-based	- A few teachers participate in a formal or	- Teachers do not participate in any
	enthusiasm in a school-based appraisal	appraisal program.	informal appraisal program.	form of appraisal program.
	program.	- Most teachers, individually or in groups,	- A few teachers write some form of	- Teachers do not write lesson
	- All teachers, individually or in groups, reflect	evaluate their performance and participate in	lesson evaluation.	evaluations.
	upon and evaluate their performance and	professional development.	- The SIP includes plans to improve	- The SIP includes no plans to improve
	participate in professional development.	- Most teachers write some form of lesson	teacher appraisal and there is evidence of	teacher appraisal or there is no
	- Teachers regularly make thoughtful and	evaluation.	implementation.	evidence of implementation.
	accurate written evaluations of lesson			
	effectiveness based on children's learning			
	outcomes.			

### Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 2: Teacher Performance

Schools that meet accreditation standards for teacher performance have in place high quality standards, systems and policies for planning, time management, classroom environments and performance appraisal.

- Planning is conducted using a standardized format.
- Most teachers prepare lesson plans that provide adequate guidance for teaching and learning activities.
- Teachers' plans are closely related to the National Curriculum and include some learning objectives from the curriculum.
- Assessment is planned and integrated into lessons and is based on observable and measurable criteria or rubrics. Extensive student assessment and observation records are kept. These are used for lesson planning and reporting.

All classrooms are stimulating, interesting and conducive to learning.

- These classrooms have many displays of posters, charts and maps that are appropriate to the needs of the students.
- Classrooms are rich in print and visual displays that are related to the current learning objectives.
- Some classrooms have reading corners or activity centers with a range of resources and materials.
- Recent student work of good quality is neatly displayed on the classroom walls or display areas.

Teachers are aware of the need to use time effectively for teaching and learning.

- Teachers manage their time effectively to maximize students' learning opportunities.
- Classroom rules are posted and students are informed on rules and consequences
- Lessons start and finish on time.
- Students are on-task and engaged in learning activities for most of the lesson time. Distractions are minimal. A variety of teaching and learning strategies are used. Student work demonstrates their engagement in a range of creative activities and projects.

Teachers are fully briefed on students' IEPs.

- They create appropriate teaching and learning activities to meet these students' needs.
- Assessment accommodations are made to meet the needs of students with IEPs.

Teachers recognize the value of performance appraisal.

- They participate in a school-based appraisal program conducted by the principal.
- Most teachers, individually or in groups, evaluate their own teaching performance and adapt their teaching accordingly.
- Teachers actively participate in professional development activities in the school and beyond.
- They share strategies learned from professional development activities with colleagues.
- Most teachers write some form of lesson evaluation.
- Teachers are willing to take on active leadership roles in activities related to school improvement.

#### Classroom Management

- Positive room arrangement
- Students are informed on all classroom rules and procedures. Signs are posted in the classroom
- · Positive reinforcement: Focus on good behavior.

Sugges	Suggested sources of evidence of performance for Standard 2					
2.1	Long, medium and short-term lesson plans: learning objectives linked to the National Curriculum: assessment criteria: student assessment records					
2.2 Up to date classroom displays: reading corners with a variety of reading materials: examples work						
2.3	Evidence from classroom observation: examples of student work: student portfolios					
2.4	Principal's classroom observation records: written reports on teacher appraisal: written teachers' lesson evaluations: records of professional development activities attended					

Standard	d 3: Data Management			
Criteria	Level 4 - exceeds the accreditation standards.	Level 3 - complies with the accreditation standards.	Level 2 - substantially complies and has a plan to correct deficiencies.	Level 1 - does not meet the accreditation standards.
3.1	- Comprehensive and accurate school data is collected on a regular schedule Daily attendance data for students and teachers is collected and analyzed to produce weekly and annual percentages reports for individuals, classes and the whole school Reports for the last three years or more are available Action is taken early to address attendance and drop-out issues.	- School data is collected on a regular schedule Daily attendance data for students is collected and analyzed to produce daily, weekly and annual percentage reports Reports for the last three years are available Action is taken early to address attendance issues.	- Some school data is collected on a regular schedule.  - Daily attendance data is usually collected.  - The SIP includes plans to improve the collection and use of data and there is evidence of implementation.	<ul> <li>School data is not collected on a regular schedule.</li> <li>Daily attendance data is not always collected.</li> <li>The SIP includes no plans to improve the collection and use of data or there is no evidence of implementation.</li> </ul>
3.2	- All school data is centrally located and carefully filed. Files are backed-up or duplicated and are securely held All data is checked, cleaned and updated at least weekly Data is provided to The Public School System in a timely manner in both electronic and hardcopy format Student IEPs are carefully filed, regularly consulted by class teachers and regularly updated.	- School data is centrally located and carefully filed Data is regularly checked, cleaned and updated Data is provided to The Public School System in a timely manner Student IEPs are carefully filed and often consulted by class teachers.	Most school data are centrally located and filed.     Data is sometimes checked, cleaned and updated.     Student IEPs are filed and sometimes consulted by class teachers.     The SIP includes plans to improve the filing and accuracy of data and there is evidence of implementation.	- School data is not centrally located and is poorly filed Data is rarely checked, cleaned and updated Student IEPs are outdated and rarely consulted by class teachers The SIP includes no plans to improve the filing and accuracy of data or there is no evidence of implementation.
3.3	- All student performance data, including classroom assessment, teacher observations, student portfolios and test data, is analyzed by all teachers to identify individual students' strengths and weaknesses, including students with IEPs Regular assessment meetings are held by teacher teams Data analysis is used by teachers to adapt their teaching strategies and programs to meet individual student needs.	- All student performance data is collected and analyzed by all teachers to identify individual students' strengths and weaknesses, including students with IEPs Data analysis is used by teachers to adapt their teaching strategies and programs to meet individual student needs.	- Some student performance data is collected and analyzed by some teachers to identify individual students' strengths and weaknesses Data analysis is sometimes used by teachers to adapt their teaching strategies and programs to meet individual student needs The SIP includes plans to improve the use of student performance data and there is evidence of implementation.	- Student performance data is not analyzed to identify individual students' strengths and weaknesses Data analysis is not used by teachers to adapt their teaching strategies and programs to meet individual student needs The SIP includes no plans to improve the use of student performance data or there is no evidence of implementation.
3.4	- An extensive collection of school data is used to guide all management decision making - A full range of data, including findings from surveys, is shown and used in the School Improvement Plan - Data analysis is used by the principal to support management decisions, such as teacher deployment, student placement and resource allocation.	- School data is used in management decision making Relevant data is shown and used in the School Improvement Plan - Data analysis is used by the principal to support management decisions, such as teacher deployment.	- School data is rarely used in management decision making - Some data is shown and used in the School Improvement Plan - Data analysis is sometimes used by the principal to support management decisions The SIP includes plans to improve the use of data in management decision making and there is evidence of implementation.	- School data is not used in management decision making - Data is not shown nor used in the School Improvement Plan - Data analysis is not used by the principal to support management decisions, such as teacher deployment The SIP includes no plans to improve the use of data in management decision making or there is no evidence of implementation.

### Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 3: Data Management

Schools that meet accreditation standards for data management have in place a policy and system for the collection, storage and a wide range of data including student achievement and attendance.

School data is readily available from a central storage file, either on a computer or as hard copy. There is a system in place to backup or copy the data in case the originals are lost.

Daily student attendance data is collected and analyzed to produce daily, weekly and annual attendance reports showing attendance and absence percentages. There is transparency of student and teacher attendance.

All student performance data is collected and analyzed by all teachers to identify individual students' strengths and weaknesses. Data analysis is used by teachers to adapt their teaching strategies and programs to meet individual student needs.

The School Improvement Plan contains data analysis identifying strengths and weaknesses. SIP goals are supported by data analysis and monitoring and evaluation is based on data. Teachers are deployed to the most appropriate grade based on data analysis of their strengths and qualifications.

Sugges	uggested sources of evidence of performance for Standard 3		
3.1	School attendance data for each child: average school attendance rates per day, week and month: school calendar: 9 week reports, discussions with parents and school community		
3.2	Copy of school data sent to PSS: copy of current school data		
3.3	Student performance data: MISAT data: classroom assessment data: teacher observation data: student portfolios: student performance analysis reports: Individual Education Plans: evidence of use of data in program or lesson planning: evidence of special education response to student performance data		
3.4	Data in the School Improvement Plan: trends identified by data analysis		

Standard	Standard 4: National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student learning Outcomes					
Criteria	Level 4 - exceeds the accreditation Level 3 - complies with the		Level 2 - substantially complies and has	Level 1 - does not meet the		
Criteria	standards.	accreditation standards.	a plan to correct deficiencies.	accreditation standards.		
4.1	- Written school policy states that English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are taught throughout the school in accordance with the National Curriculum.  - All lesson plans are based on the National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes.	- The school timetable, teacher records and lesson plans show that English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are taught in most classes throughout the school in accordance with the National Curriculum Most lesson plans are based on the National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes.	- The school timetable, teacher records and lesson plans show that English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are taught in some classes and sometimes in accordance with the National Curriculum Lesson Plans are not aligned with the standards and benchmarks The SIP contains plans to improve curriculum provision and there is evidence of implementation.	- English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are not taught throughout the school in accordance with National Curriculum There are no lesson plans or existing plans are not being used The SIP does not contain any plans to improve curriculum provision or there is no evidence of implementation.		
4.2	- Student performance data shows that the MISAT combined proficiency level is above 30% MISAT combined proficiency Improvement is 10% or more Above 75% pass the High School Entrance Test.	- Student performance data shows that the MISAT combined proficiency level is above 20%.  - MISAT combined proficiency Improvement is 5% or more.  - Above 50% pass the High School Entrance Test.	- Student performance data shows that the MISAT combined proficiency level is above 10% MISAT combined Proficiency Improvement is 1% or more Above 25% pass the High School Entrance Test.	- Student performance data shows that the MISAT combined proficiency level is below 10% MISAT combined Proficiency Improvement is less than 1% Less than 25% pass the High School Entrance Test.		
4.3	- Students in all grades have their own copies of updated textbooks for Marshallese Language Arts, English Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science All textbooks are aligned to the correct grade level or students are working above grade level and there is clear progression from one grade to the next Students have access to additional resources including on-line resources The average student: teacher ratio is not more than 25:1.	- All students in all grades have their own copies of textbooks for Marshallese Language Arts, English Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science All textbooks are aligned to the correct grade level and there is progression from one grade to the next The average student: teacher ratio is not more than 25:1.	- Most students in most grades have their own copies of textbooks for Marshallese Language Arts, English Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science - Not all textbooks are aligned to the correct grade level The average student: teacher ratio is not more than 30:1 The SIP contains plans to improve access to textbooks or reduce the student: teacher ratio and there is evidence of implementation	- Few students in most grades have their own copies of textbooks for Marshallese Language Arts, English Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science Textbooks are not aligned to the correct grade level The average student: teacher ratio is more than 30:1 The SIP contains no plans to improve access to textbooks or reduce the student: teacher ratio.		
4.4	- A full timetable of extra-curricular academic, sports, cultural, civic and community activities are conducted after school for both boys and girls Values such as fair play and healthy lifestyles are promoted Students have received awards for extracurricular activities from local and international bodies and organizations.	- Daily extra-curricular academic, sports, cultural, civic and community development activities are conducted after school for both boys and girls Values such as fair play and healthy lifestyles are promoted.	- Extra-curricular is limited to sports only and are conducted after school for both boys and girls The SIP contains plans to improve the provision of extra-curricular activities and there is evidence of implementation.	- No extra-curricular academic, sports, cultural, civic or community activities are conducted after school for both boys and girls The SIP contains no plans to improve the provision of extracurricular activities or there is no evidence of implementation.		

# Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 4: National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes

Schools that meet the accreditation standards offer an effective, wide and varied curriculum.

- These schools teach all National Curriculum subjects at all Grade levels.
- Teachers have copies of the National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) and they are familiar with them. Each syllabus should include student learning outcomes (SLOs) that describe the knowledge, skills, and abilities students can expect to attain during your course.
- All lessons are clearly linked to the National curriculum and are focused on a specific National Curriculum Benchmark or SLO. Lesson plans are clearly linked to the curriculum documents.

Student achievement is higher than average in schools that comply with this standard.

 At least 50% of students achieve a pass score or Proficient, Advanced, Developing, Beginning, Minimum Competency or Competency in the MISATs.

The average student: teacher ratio is not more than 25:1.

Schools that meet the standards are well equipped with textbooks and learning materials.

- All students have their own copies of textbooks for English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science and Social Studies.
- There are adequate materials for students studying Marshallese Language Arts. All textbooks used by the school are correctly aligned to the Students' Grade level. There is a clear progression from one Grade level to the next. Supplemental materials for learners of English as a second language are provided.

The academic curriculum is supplemented by comprehensive and engaging extra-curricular program.

 Most teachers contribute to the extra-curricular program. The extra-curricular program operates daily and offers sports, cultural, civic and community development activities after school for both boys and girls. The extra-curricular program promotes sound values such as fair play, civic and environmental responsibility and healthy lifestyles.

Sugge	ested sources of evidence of performance for Standard 4
4.1	Written school curriculum policy: class schedules: lesson plans containing alignment to National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and SLOs
4.2	MISATs student performance data analysis: analysis of student performance in other standardized tests: school organization
4.3	Textbook inventory: library inventory
4.4	Extra-curricular activity schedule; student notice board: school log: interviews with students, certificates and awards

Criteria	Level 4 - exceeds the accreditation standards.	Level 3 - complies with the accreditation standards.	Level 2 - substantially complies and has a plan to correct deficiencies.	Level 1 - does not meet the accreditation standards.
5.1	The school has a written maintenance plan that sets out a schedule of routine cleaning, minor repairs, painting and preventative maintenance. Records show that maintenance work is regularly carried out. The school has a written facilities development plan that sets out a schedule for major maintenance works, refurbishment or rebuilding. Records and plans show that the facilities development plan is actively implemented.	- The school has a written maintenance plan component in their SIP Regular maintenance work is carried out All potential and actual maintenance problems have been reported to PSS.	- The school has a written maintenance plan component in their SIP - There is evidence of some recent basic maintenance work Some maintenance problems have been reported to PSS.	- The SIP contains no maintenance plan No maintenance work has been carried out in the past 12 months No work orders have been sent to PSS.
5.2	- The campus is maintained to a high standard of cleanliness Schools grounds are neat, clean, safe and secure Public areas are welcoming and attractive All school facilities are easily accessible to students with physical disabilities There is a well-equipped office and teacher workspace.	<ul> <li>The campus is maintained to a good standard of cleanliness.</li> <li>School grounds are reasonably neat, clean, safe and secure.</li> <li>Public areas are clean and neat.</li> <li>Most school facilities are accessible to students with physical disabilities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School grounds are not kept clean and neat.</li> <li>Some areas of the campus are dangerous.</li> <li>Not all school facilities are accessible to students with physical disabilities.</li> <li>The SIP contains a plan to improve the school facilities.</li> </ul>	- The school campus and buildings are in poor condition Facilities are inadequate or unsafe Facilities are inaccessible to students with physical disabilities The SIP contains no plans to improve the condition of the school facilities.
5.3	- Classroom environments are conducive to effective teaching and learning All classrooms are bright, well ventilated and equipped with adequate lighting and electrical outlets Electrical fittings are in good condition and safe All classrooms have high-quality student and teacher furniture, including adequate storage and display.	- Classroom environments are somewhat conducive to effective teaching and learning Most classrooms are bright, well ventilated and equipped with adequate lighting and electrical outlets Electrical fittings are in good condition and safe Classrooms are adequately furnished, equipped and maintained.	- Classroom environments are poor and not entirely conducive to effective teaching and learning Classrooms are adequately lit and ventilated Some electrical fittings are unsafe Classroom furniture is adequate but in poor condition.	- Classroom environments are detrimental to teaching and learning Classrooms are dark and not well ventilated - Electrical outlets are unsafe, do not work or absent School furniture is inadequate and unsafe The SIP contains no plans to improve classroom environments
5.4	- Food storage and preparation areas are EPA and PHD certified.  - All food handlers are trained and certified by EPA and PHD.  - EPA and PHD certificates are clearly displayed.  - There is abundant safe drinking water.	<ul> <li>Food storage and preparation areas are EPA and PHD certified.</li> <li>Most food handlers are trained and certified by EPA and PHD.</li> <li>Some EPA and PHD certificates are displayed.</li> <li>There is access to adequate safe drinking water.</li> <li>There are adequate safe and clean toilet facilities for students and staff.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Food storage and preparation areas are clean but not EPA and PHD certified.</li> <li>Some food handlers are trained and certified by EPA and PHD.</li> <li>Some EPA and PHD certificates can be found.</li> </ul>	- Food storage and preparation areas not EPA and PHD certified and are not kept clean Food handlers are not trained and certified by EPA and PHD There is no access to safe drinking water.

- Staff and student toilet facilities are safe and	- There is an emergency evacuation plan and it	- There is access to some safe	- Toilet facilities for students and
clean, with hand wash basins, paper and	is practiced at least twice per year.	drinking water or the SIP contains a	staff are inadequate, unsafe and
soap.		plan to provide water.	unsanitary.
- There is an emergency evacuation plan and		- Toilet facilities for students and	- There is no emergency evacuation
it is practiced regularly throughout the year.		staff are barely adequate, but the SIP	plan
		contains an improvement plan.	- The SIP contains no plans to
		- There is an emergency evacuation	improve basic facilities.
		plan but it has not been practiced in	
		the last year.	

### Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 5: School Campus, Classrooms and Facilities

Schools that meet the accreditation standards have facilities that contribute to high levels of student achievement.

• The school grounds are clean and well maintained. There is no litter, graffiti or signs of vandalism.

Playing areas are spacious and free of potential hazards.

• There are no dangerous items such as broken equipment or exposed sharp objects. Sports equipment, where available, are in good condition and adequate for the number of students.

The school buildings are in good condition.

- Walls, doors and window-frames have recently been painted and there is no rot or damage. All windows in the classrooms are safe and secure.
- There is a written maintenance plan that sets out a schedule of routine cleaning, minor repairs and preventative maintenance
- The classrooms are clean, spacious and tidy. There is adequate furniture for all students as well as teacher's desk and secure, lockable storage for books and materials. Lighting and ventilation are good and the classroom is a comfortable place for students to learn. Science labs and some classrooms have sinks with running water.

There is an emergency evacuation plan for the school to be implemented in case of fire or other emergency, and teachers and students are aware of the plan.

There is adequate access to safe drinking water.

• Safe, clean, EPA and PHD certified drinking is available for all students. Valid EPA and PHD certificates can be seen.

Food handling areas, such as kitchens and canteens, are clean and safe.

- Valid EPA and PHD certificates can be seen. The facilities have been recently inspected and any recommended repairs or improvements have been implemented.
- All food-handling personnel, including cooks and helpers, have a valid certificate from the Public Health Department. Appropriate headwear is worn by all cooks and helpers.

There is an adequate number of toilet bowls for the students and staff.

- Valid EPA and PHD certificates can be seen. The toilets are private, functioning, clean and hygienic.
- There is adequate toilet paper and cleaning materials.
- There are sinks with soap and running water for students and staff to wash their hands after using the toilet.

Suggest	Suggested sources of evidence of performance for Standard 5		
5.1	Written school maintenance plan; records or routine maintenance work; written facilities development plan; contracts for works in hand		
5.2	Visual inspection; photographs of the school buildings and facilities		
5.3	School and classroom floor plan; classroom measurements; school enrolment, class enrollment, photographs of classrooms, classroom furniture inventories		
5.4	Current EPA and PHD certificates for food-handling, drinking water and toilets; hand-washing facilities including soap; visual inspection of facilities: written emergency evacuation plan		

Criteria	Level 4 - exceeds the accreditation standards.	Level 3 - complies with the accreditation standards.	Level 2 - substantially complies.	Level 1 - does not meet the accreditation standards.
6.1	<ul> <li>The School Improvement Plan has been developed and evaluated in accordance with the National Guidelines.</li> <li>The School Improvement Plan has been developed by a school improvement team that includes all teachers, parents, students, community members and other stakeholders who wished to be involved.</li> <li>The SIP is reviewed on a regular basis.</li> <li>There is strong evidence that student achievement is improving as a result of the SIP.</li> </ul>	- The School Improvement Plan has been developed and evaluated largely in accordance with the National Guidelines The School Improvement Plan has been developed by a school improvement team that includes all teachers, parents, students, community members and other stakeholders who wish to be involved The SIP is showing some signs of improving student achievement.	- The School Improvement Plan has been developed and evaluated in accordance with some of the National Guidelines The School Improvement Plan has been developed by a school improvement team but teachers, parents, students, community members and other stakeholders were not all represented There is a plan to improve the SIP process and there is some evidence of implementation.	- The School Improvement Plan has not been developed and evaluated in accordance with the National Guidelines The School Improvement Plan has not been developed by a school improvement team There is no plan to improve the SIP process or there is no evidence of implementation of such a plan.
6.2	The school principal plays a key leadership role in the development and implementation of the SIP.  The SIP contains a Vision and Mission.  The mission and Vision are well known and shared by the school community.  The SIP is effectively implemented and monitored.  The actions of staff members and members of the school community show commitment to implementing the SIP.	- The school principal plays an important role in the development and implementation of the SIP The SIP contains a Vision and Mission The Vision and Mission are well known by the school community The SIP is well implemented and monitored.	- The school principal did not play a leadership role in the development and implementation of the SIP The SIP is not effectively implemented and monitored The SIP contains a Vision and Mission but these are not known by the school community There is a plan to improve SIP leadership, implementation and monitoring and there is evidence of implementation.	- The school principal did not play any role in the development and implementation of the School Improvement Plan The Plan does not contain a Vision and Mission The Plan is not being implemented or monitored There is no plan to improve SIP leadership, implementation and monitoring or there is no evidence of implementation of such a plan.
6.3	- The School Improvement Plan contains comprehensive data analysis of student performance, attendance, resources and school management, over a period of at least three years, noting school strengths and weaknesses Data analysis is comprehensive and is presented in graphical format Goals and objectives are clearly based on data analysis.	- The School Improvement Plan contains general data analysis of student performance, attendance, resources and school management, over at least the last 3 years, noting school strengths and weaknesses Data analysis is presented in graphical format Goals and objectives are based on data analysis.	- The School Improvement Plan contains limited data analysis of student performance, attendance, resources and school management.  - There is a plan to improve data analysis and there is evidence of implementation.	- The School Improvement Plan contains no data analysis of student performance, attendance, resources and school management There is no plan to improve data analysis or there is no evidence of implementation of such a plan.

6.4	- The School Improvement Plan contains detailed	- The School Improvement Plan	- The School Improvement Plan does	- The School Improvement Plan does
	planning matrices for all activities, with inputs,	contains some detailed planning for	not contain Matrices for all activities.	not contain any Student Achievement
	timelines, outputs and outcomes.	all activities, with inputs, timelines,	- There is a plan to improve Goals,	Goals and Objectives or Activity
	- The SIP is posted in a public place and regular	outputs and outcomes.	Objectives and Activity Planning and	Planning Matrices.
	monitoring reports are issued to the community.	- Plan activities are regularly	there is evidence of implementation.	- There is no plan to improve Goals,
		monitored.		Objectives and Activity Planning or
				there is no evidence of
				implementation of such a plan.

### Exemplar for schools that comply with Standard 6: School Improvement Planning

Schools that meet the accreditation standards have a current SIP in place and are implementing it effectively. These schools are able to produce a copy of the current SIP as well as documentary evidence describing its implementation.

• The implementation program is on time and monitoring and evaluation activities show that student achievement rates are rising. The SIP will be familiar to teachers and parents. The SIP has been developed by following the guidance issued by the PSS.

There is evidence that the SIP has been developed with input by a school improvement team that includes all teachers, parents, students, community members and other stakeholders who wish to be involved.

• The school Principal will have played a leadership role in developing the SIP and will be leading the implementation of the plan. This will be seen in the principal's vision and knowledge of the plan, as well as in documents produced by the principal, such as activities conducted by the school improvement team or reports of meetings.

The SIP will contain a comprehensive set of data on various aspects of the work of the school, including student achievement and attendance, teacher qualifications and professional development and resource inventories. This data will be analyzed to show trends, strengths and weaknesses.

The School Improvement Plan will contain Goals and Objectives that are focused on improving Student Achievement.

The plan will contain Activity Planning Matrices for all activities, with inputs, timelines, outputs and outcomes. There will be
evidence of implementation activities visible in the school, such as notices giving details of upcoming activities or actual
activities, such as staff development, taking place after school. The SIP will be closely monitored and records kept showing
actual activities conducted and their outputs and outcomes.

Sugge	Suggested sources of evidence of performance for Standard 6		
6.1	Copy of the School Improvement Plan: Minutes of SIT meetings: SIT analysis of student achievement		
6.2	Interview with principal; monitoring reports; interviews with staff		
6.3	Data on student achievement including MISATs results and classroom assessments; evidence of the use of data in planning		
6.4	Activity planning matrices with dates and targets: monitoring reports		

# **Chapter 4: Classroom Observations**

Classroom Observations are the second main task for the MSET and must be completed during the actual school evaluation visit. A minimum of two classroom observations is necessary to meet the requirements laid out in this manual. The School Evaluation team should identify who is to be responsible for this important task.

Due to time constraints and limited opportunities for observer(s) to interview instructors, observers will utilize a slightly modified version of the standardized RMI Classroom Observation process when conducting their classroom observations. Located in the section below is a guide for completing the classroom observation for school accreditation purposes.

### **Guide to Classroom Observation for School Accreditation**

The Observer and the Teacher will follow a sequence of activities in completing the Classroom observation for school accreditation, as follows:

Pre-Observation: Observer outlines the purpose of the observation. Documents such as lesson plans can be reviewed at this time.

Classroom Observation: Observer completes the Running Record and collects data on the types of questions the teacher asks.

Post-Observation: Observer discusses the observation with the teacher.

Completion: Observer completes the Classroom Observation Checklist and calculates a score and Accreditation Level for the observation.

#### **Running Record for Classroom Observation**

A running record details teacher and student activities during a lesson and captures significant things that do not appear on the checklist. The Observer will enter classrooms unobtrusively and not interact with teachers and students in order to accurately depict normal classroom instruction and behavior. Observers will use the running record to note the main activities of teachers and students that occur during the observed lesson. Observers should record how much time is spent for each activity. Evidence collected from the running record can then be used to complete the Classroom Observation Checklist.

# Open and closed questions

The observer should also count the number of Open and Closed questions asked during the lesson.

Open questions encourage students to think and express themselves. They may have more than one correct answer. They usually begin with 'Why', 'How' or 'What do you think?' For example, 'Why do you think it's important to learn how to tell the time?'

Closed questions usually have one correct answer. For example, 'What number is the hour hand pointing to on the clock?'

Sample Classroom Observation Running Record	
School: Pacific Elementary School	Teacher: Mr. Tibbs
Grade: 3	Subject: Mathematics

Time in minutes	Teacher Activity	Student Activity	Open Questions	Closed questions
09.30	Waiting for children to settle	Getting settled. Arranging chairs and desks		
09.32	Still waiting	Getting settled. Taking out books and pencils		
09.34	Moves some children to different seats	Children move		
09.36	Drawing clock face on chalkboard. Adding numbers 1 to 12.	Waiting for teacher to finish drawing.		
09.38	Drawing hands on clock.	Some copying the drawing		
	Clock shows twenty past nine.			
09.40	Asks students to tell the time shown on the clock.	Students answer correctly.		1, 1, 1
	Explains that each number represents 5 minutes.	Listening to explanation.		
	Asks class what time is shown on clock.	Students answer correctly.		
09.42	Draws clock hands showing different time.	Children watch.		1, 1, 1
	Asks class the time.	Class call out the correct answer together.		
09.42	Repeats with different times	Class call out the correct answer together.		1,1,1,1
09.44	Draws clocks showing different time.	Children start drawing clocks.		
	Asks children to copy the clock sand write the times in words			
09.46	Walks around the classroom	Children draw clocks.		
09.48	Helps children with drawing	Children draw clocks		
09.50	Walks around classroom looking at children's work	Children draw clocks		

# **Classroom Observation Checklist**

School Name:	School Code:
Name of Observer:	Date:

Standard	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
1.	1.1 Long term plans show an	There is evidence that the PSS curriculum documents and guidelines are regularly used in planning		
Planning and preparation	understanding of the curriculum, the connections	There is a written program for all curriculum areas for a period of at least 4 weeks		
	between different subject areas and an attempt to link the curriculum to local contexts	The program identifies major concepts and breaks them down into sequenced learning steps and appropriate learning activities		
		Some learning objectives are integrated in themes or topics and the use of Information and Communications Technology is integrated		
	Criteria score	The program is linked to local events and seasonal activities		
				1
	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
	1.2 Short term lesson plans	Written plans for at least 1 week show continuity and progression between lessons		

Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
1.2 Short term lesson plans	Written plans for at least 1 week show continuity and progression between lessons		
provide adequate guidance for teaching and learning	Plans include sequenced, measurable learning objectives from the curriculum		
activities	Plans include appropriate learning activities including differentiated activities for different learners, including students with IEPs		
	Plans have enough detail to guide teaching and learning		
Criteria score	National lesson planning forms are used		

Standard	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
2.	2.1 Time is managed	Time is used constructively for teaching and learning of new material		
Classroom	effectively to maximize students' learning	Children are on-task and engaged in appropriate learning activities for most of the lesson time		
Management	opportunities	The lesson starts and finishes on time		
		The pace of the lesson is neither too slow nor too rushed		
	Criteria score	The teacher allocates their time fairly and equitably towards all children		
	·			•
	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
		All resources and learning materials needed for the lesson are prepared in advance		

Criteria score	Children are encouraged to act responsibly and learn cooperatively		
	Violence, threats or other forms of physical punishment are not used		
	Classroom rules and routines are clearly explained and children follow them		
climate for learning is created	The classroom is orderly, safe and non-threatening		
2.3 A positive, supportive	The teacher expects, recognizes and rewards high standards of student behavior and achievement		
Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
Criteria score	Resources and learning materials are collected and put away at the end of the lesson		
	Children are partly responsible for the management of resources and learning materials		
learning materials are managed effectively	Resources and learning materials are stored neatly, safely and securely		
2.2 Resources and	Children have access to adequate and appropriate resources and learning materials		

Criteria	Rubric		No
2.4 A high quality physical	The environment is rich in print and visual displays that are related to the current learning objectives		
learning environment is created	The classroom is clean, receives enough daylight and is well ventilated		
	The arrangement of furniture allows and supports a variety of teaching and learning activities		
	The arrangement of furniture allows the teacher to move about the room to monitor and assist all children		
Criteria score	There are designated areas of the classroom where topical displays or activity centers are located		

Standard	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
3.	3.1 The lesson is	The lesson begins with a review of previous learning		
Teaching and	structured and objectives are clear	The learning objectives of the lesson are clearly stated		
learning		The lesson contains a balance of teacher instruction and student activity		
		The lesson is adapted to take account of student feedback		
	Criteria score The lesson ends with a review of what has been learned			
	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
	3.2 New material is accurately and clearly	New material or content is presented with authority in a logical and systematic way using clearly recognizable steps		

presented, explained and	Explanations and demonstrations are clear, concise and easy to follow	
placed within a meaningful context	Concepts are explained in several different ways using relevant examples and meaningful contexts	
0 1 1 1	The language of instruction is appropriate to the needs of the children	
Criteria score	Verbal communication is clear, concise and understandable and is supported by print or other media	

Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
3.3 A variety of highly	The teacher facilitates a wide variety of interactive teaching and cooperative learning strategies		
efficient interactive teaching and learning strategies are used	Children are actively and meaningfully engaged in appropriate, challenging activities and learning takes place through activity		
	Learning activities include higher-order thinking, inquiry, observation, analysis and problem solving		
	Students are given opportunities to apply their learning in meaningful contexts, including through the use of ICT		
Criteria score	Different learning activities are assigned according to individual children's needs		

Criteria	Rubric		No
3.4 Questioning and	Most teacher questions are open and enabling and encourage higher order thinking		
discussion are used effectively to support	Questions are adapted to individual students' needs and abilities, including those with IEPs		
learning	The teacher responds positively to students' responses and asks appropriate supplementary or follow-up questions		
	Question and answer time is efficiently managed with appropriate routines such as wait time, hands up and other forms of signaling		
Criteria score	Discussions are managed so as to allow contributions from all students		

Standard	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
4. Student	4.1 Assessment is planned and integrated into the	Learning objectives and assessment criteria are explained to the children in language they can understand		
assessment	lesson and is based on observable and measurable criteria	A variety of assessment strategies are used in the lesson and feedback is given to students at strategic points		
		The results of assessment are used to adapt current and future teaching and learning activities		
		Individual assessment outcomes are recorded by the teacher or stored in a student portfolio or other record system		
	Criteria score	Children are involved in conducting self or peer assessments		

Standard	Criteria	Rubric	Yes	No
5. Evaluation	5.1 The teacher reflects upon and evaluates their	The teacher regularly makes thoughtful and accurate evaluations of lesson effectiveness based on children's learning outcomes		
and professional	l dovolonment	The teacher adjusts future lessons based on evaluation		
development		The teacher welcomes and acts upon feedback from observers, principal or other teachers		
		The teacher participates in a school-based appraisal program		
	Criteria score	The teacher actively seeks appropriate ways to enhance their professional knowledge and practice		

Observer's comments		

# **Scoring the Classroom Observation Checklist**

The maximum possible score for each category is five (5). The score can be determined by counting the number of rubrics for which the observer awarded a 'yes'. Scores should be entered in the box marked 'Criteria Score'. Criteria scores should be entered in the score summary table below.

Classroom Observation Score summary table		
Standard	Criteria	Criteria Score
Standard 1: Planning and preparation	1.1	
	1.2	
Standard 2: Classroom Management	2.1	
	2.2	
	2.3	
	2.4	
Standard 3: Teaching and learning	3.1	
	3.2	
	3.3	
	3.4	
Standard 4: Student assessment	4.1	
Standard 5: Evaluation and professional development	5.1	
Total Criteria Score		

# Using Classroom observation scores to determine the school accreditation status

The table below shows how the total criteria score will determine the accreditation status of the school. The accreditation levels are equivalent to the levels used in the school assessment. Classroom observation and school assessment scores will be used together to determine the school's accreditation level at the end of Stage One.

Total Criteria Score	School Accreditation Level
46 - 60	Level 4
31 - 45	Level 3
16 - 30	Level 2
0 - 15	Level 1

### **Chapter 5: After the School Evaluation Visit**

School Data Form B is designed to collect all the levels for each criterion and for two classroom observations from the evaluations conducted earlier and recorded on the rubric forms. A sample is included in this chapter along with the official data form.

If more than two classroom observations have been made, then the highest scoring and the lowest scoring observations should be entered.

The column marked 'Total' in Form B shows a count of the scores at each level. The scores in the Total column should be transferred down to the summary section of Form B. Working across the form from right to left, the guide notes will help determine the Stage One Accreditation Level of the school.

When School Data Form B has been completed by the MEST, it should be submitted to the PSS Accreditation Office for approval. Form A, all school assessment and classroom observation forms and any other relevant data should be attached to form a Stage One School Accreditation File.

When the Associate Commissioner of Policy and Planning has approved and signed Form B of the Stage One School Accreditation File, two further copies of the File should be made.

One copy of the Stage One School Accreditation File should be forwarded to the Commissioner of Education by January 15th of each school year and a second copy to the School Principal or Head Teacher with further instructions and recommendations. The Office of Accreditation at PSS will be on hand to assist school Principals or Head teachers as they proceed to Stage Two. The Accreditation Office along with MSET and Associate Commissioner of Schools will assist schools placed in Special Measures in working on an improvement plan.

The original copy of the Stage One School Accreditation File will be filed at the PSS Accreditation office.

# Form B Example

School Na	me:	Any	Scho	ol								Sch	ool (	Code	: Any	cod	e					Ato	II: Aı	ny At	oll			
SAMPLE F	ORN	И В: S	Scho	ol Eva	aluat	ion a	nd C	lassr	oom	Obse	ervat	ion S	core	s FO	RM I	SAI	MPLE											
	Sta	ndar	d 1		Sta	ndar	d 2		Sta	ndard	d 3		Sta	ndar	d 4		Sta	ndar	d 5		Sta	ndar	d 6		Classroom Observation 1	Classi	room rvation 2	Total
Criteria Level	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	1	(= 26)	
4																										0		
3				х		х								х	х	Х						х			х			7
2	х		х		x		х	х	х	х		х	х				х	х	х	х			х	х		x		16
1		х									х										х				3			3
School Ac	cred	litatio	n Le	vel d	leter	mina	tion	•			•	•		•		•		•	•	•		•	•	•				Ţ.
Next Step						Scl	hool	Leve	l										Level	Total								
Proceed t	o Sta	age T	wo								This	This school is at level 4 If most determina					ninat	nations are at this level				4	0					
Proceed t	o Sta	age T	wo												evel			If m	ost d	eterr	ninat	tions	are	at th	is level		3	7
Special M	easu	ıres				x					This	sch	ool is	s at l	evel	2		If m	ost d	eterr	ninat	nations are at this level				2	16	
Special M	easu	ıres									This	sch	ool is	s at l	evel	1		If th	ere a	re 4	or m	ore c	leter	mina	ations at this level		1	3
Approval:																												
I hereby certify that the RMI School Accreditation Stage One School Evaluation has been correctly administered.																												
DATE:																												
Associate	Associate Commissioner for Policy and Planning																											
Accredita	Accreditation Director School Principal																											

## Form B

Marshall Island	ls Pul	olic S	choo	l Sys	tem	Accr	edita	tion	Forn	n B																		
School Name:												Sch	ool (	Code	:							Ato	oll:					
FORM B: School	l Eval	uatio	on an	d Cla	assro	om C	bser	vatio	on Sc	ores																		
	Sta	ndar	d 1		Sta	ndar	d 2		Sta	ndar	d 3		Sta	ndar	d 4		Sta	Standard 5 S			Sta	Standard 6			Classroom Observation 1		room rvation 2	Total
Criteria Level	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	1		(= 26)
4																												
3																												
2																												
1																												
School Accredit	ation	Leve	el det	termi	inatio	on		1							1	1						l		l				Ţ
Next Step						Sch	hool	Leve	I				Le						Level	Total								
Proceed to Stag	ge Tw	0									Thi	is school is at level 4					is level		4									
Proceed to Stag	ge Tw	0									Thi	s sch	ool i	s at l	evel	3		If m	ost d	leter	mina	tions	are	at th	is level		3	
Special Measur	es										Thi	s sch	ool i	s at l	evel	2		If m	ost d	leter	mina	tions	s are	at th	is level		2	
Special Measur	es										Thi	s sch	ool i	s at l	evel	1		If th	ere a	re 4	or m	nore	dete	rmina	ations at this leve	I	1	
Approval:																												
I hereby certify	that	the F	RMI S	choc	ol Acc	credit	tatio	n Sta	ge O	ne S	choo	l Eva	luati	on h	as be	en c	orred	tly a	dmin	niste	red.							
DATE:																												
Associate Com	nissic	oner	for P	olicy,	, Plan	nning	and	Stan	dard	ls																		
Accreditation Director						School Principal																						

#### **Chapter 6: School Self Study**

The purpose of the School Self Study is to establish and sustain a process of self-evaluation and continuous improvement in the provision of high quality teaching and learning experiences for students, leading to improved learning outcomes for all students.

The core activity in the School Self Study is the planning and implementation of strategies to correct any serious Level One deficiencies identified in Stage One. In addition, the Self Study enables schools to focus on improving their assessment levels in other standards and criteria.

Schools that progress from Stage One to Stage Two of the RMI Schools Accreditation System should complete the School Self Study six (6) months after being certified and submit it to the Accreditation Office through the District Supervisor. Upon approval, the Accreditation Director will forward a copy of the School Self Study to the Commissioner of Education. The Commissioner of Education will then issue the relevant Certificate of Accreditation.

Schools that have been awarded a Certificate of Accreditation will be evaluated and must complete a self-study every year. A school's accreditation status will be revoked if annual Self Study reports are not submitted.

The Commissioner of Education shall issue a Special Certificate of Achievement to elementary and secondary schools which comply with or exceed all RMI Schools Accreditation Standards and which have completed a School Self Study. A Special Certificate of Achievement shall be valid for a period of one year from the date of issue and will entitle the recipient school to enter an incentive scheme.

#### Completing the RMI Schools Accreditation System: Stage Two: School Self Study

The School Self Study must be completed by the MSET and Atoll School Board working in collaboration with Principals, Head Teachers, teachers and stakeholders.

Forms for the School Self Study can be found in this Chapter.



RMI SCHOOL ACCREDITATION SYSTEM STAGE TWO	
SCHOOL SELF STUDY	
SCHOOL DATA FORM C	

Atoll	
School Name	
School Code	
Principal/Head Teacher	
Accreditation status	
Self-Study Approved by Associate Commissioner of Policy and Planning	

Criteria	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1			
1.1	- The principal has very high expectations for students and teachers The principal ensures that more than 200 instructional days are delivered and can provide documentary evidence Students are responsible and student behavior is very orderly and respectful towards adults and other students The SIP contains goals focused on improving student performance and behavior and there is evidence of implementation.	- The principal has higher than average expectations for students and teachers The principal can provide evidence that the minimum of 200 instructional days is delivered The mandatory number of instructional hours is delivered Student behavior is very orderly and respectful The SIP contains goals focused on improving student performance and behavior and there is evidence of implementation.	- The principal has average expectations for students and teachers The principal can provide some evidence suggesting that the minimum of 200 instructional days is delivered Student behavior is orderly and respectful The SIP contains some goals focused on improving student performance and behavior but there is little evidence of implementation.	- The principal has low expectations for students and teachers The principal cannot provide evidence of the delivery of the mandatory number of instructional days or hours Student behavior is unruly The SIP contains no goals focused on improving student performance and behavior or there is no evidence of implementation.			
	re the major factors that have led to your scho	nol heing placed at this level?					
The important The link Effective Statuto		ations h student performance gement, including mentoring and counseling t of 200 days	os 1-17 students				
Statutory instructional time of a minimum equivalent of 200 days  Statutory minimum instructional time, excluding bracks, of approximately 360 minutes daily for Grades 1-12 students  1.2							

3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Curriculum management Curriculum and instructional program planning strategies Curriculum continuity and progression The principles of quality teaching and learning Collegiality and team work 1.3 - The principal is involved in a program of - The principal is involved in a program of - The principal attends some - The principal rarely or never attends continuous professional development and professional development and appraisal. professional development activities professional development activities appraisal by supervisors, board members - The principal creates some opportunities for conducted by the PSS. conducted by the PSS. teachers to engage in continuous professional - The SIP contains some plans for - The SIP contains no plans for teachers to or peers. - The principal keeps up to date with engage in professional development development activities. professional development and there is innovations in education. - The principal conducts formal and informal limited evidence of implementation. activities or there is no evidence of - The principal creates many opportunities training at the school. implementation. for teachers to engage in continuous - The SIP contains some plans for professional professional development activities. development for teachers and there is some - The principal mentors teachers and evidence of implementation. conducts formal and informal training at the school. - The SIP contains plans for professional development for teachers and there is widespread evidence of implementation. 1. At what level did the MSET place your School? 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level? 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Personal reflection and drive for self-improvement Improving leadership skills and personal performance Improving teaching skills and personal performance Effective use of external professional development opportunities including peer learning Developing a whole-school learning and improvement culture Improving accountability and positive action Financial management and accountability 1.4 - The principal conducts teacher - The principal sometimes conducts - The principal conducts a regular - The principal rarely or never program of teacher performance performance appraisals at least twice per informal classroom observations. conducts classroom appraisals. There are regular, structured - Written reports are not always observations. vear. classroom observations and written - There are regular, structured classroom produced. - There are no written reports. reports are produced. observations and written reports are - The SIP contains some plans to - The SIP contains no plans to - The principal coaches teachers and improve teaching and learning through produced. improve teaching and learning conducts model lessons where - The principal coaches teachers where teacher appraisal but there is no through teacher appraisal or evidence of implementation. there is no evidence of necessary. necessary.

implementation.

- The SIP contains some plans to improve

teaching and learning through teacher

appraisal and there is evidence of

implementation.

- The principal encourages teachers to

evaluate their own performance based

teaching and learning through teacher

on student learning outcomes.

- The SIP contains plans to improve

appraisal and there is evidence of implementation.								
1. At what level did the MSET place your School?								
2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level								
3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:								
Providing feedback and improving the performance	Providing feedback and improving the performance of others							
Motivating and empowering others								
Recognizing and supporting achievement								
Improving accountability and challenging poor pe	Improving accountability and challenging poor performance							
Modeling good teaching practice								
Creating opportunities for reflection and evaluation	on							

Standard	I 2: Teacher Performance					
Criteria	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1		
2.1	- All teachers prepare standardized	- Most teachers prepare standardized	- Some teachers prepare lesson	- Teachers rarely prepare lesson		
	lesson plans that meet PSS guidelines	lesson plans that meet PSS guidelines	plans that include teaching and	plans.		
	and include differentiated activities that	and include differentiated activities that	learning activities.	- Student assessment records are		
	meet the needs of all learners, including	meet the needs of all learners, including	- Plans include some learning	not kept.		
	those with IEPs.	those with IEPs.	objectives from the National	- The SIP contains no plans to		
	- All plans include sequenced,	- Most plans include sequenced,	Curriculum.	improve lesson planning, to use		
	measurable learning objectives from the	measurable learning objectives from the	Student assessment records consist	differentiation for students with		
	National Curriculum.	National Curriculum.	mostly of test scores.	IEPs, or use assessment to		
	- Measurable, observable assessment is	- Measurable, observable assessment is	- There is some evidence of plans to	measure progress and guide		
	integrated into lessons.	integrated into most lessons.	improve lesson planning to meet PSS	instruction.		
	- Assessment is used to guide	- Student assessment and observation	guidelines.			
	instruction at all time, as evidenced in	records are kept.				
	lesson plans.					
. At wha	at level did the MSET place your School?					
2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?						
3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:						
Effective short, medium and long-term planning						
Recognizing the different learning needs of different students						
Setting clear learning objectives based on the curriculum						
	cing assessments and using the results to a					

	lesson plans.								
1. At wha	at level did the MSET place your School?								
2. What a	are the major factors that have led to your	school being placed at this level?							
3. Outline	3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:								
	Effective short, medium and long-term planning								
	Recognizing the different learning needs of different students								
1	Setting clear learning objectives based on the curriculum								
	cing assessments and using the results to a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
1	ng extensive records of student achievemen								
	raging the active involvement of students i	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =							
	Applying effective teaching and learning strategies								
	ing flexible learning opportunities for all st		Τ						
2.2	- All teachers create high quality,	- Most teachers attempt to create high	- Some teachers create adequate	- Classrooms do not contain visual					
	stimulating classroom learning	quality, stimulating classroom learning	classroom learning environments.	displays.					
	environments.	environments.	- Classrooms contain some visual	- Desks are in rows and there are					
	- Classrooms are rich in print and visual	- Classrooms are rich in print and visual	displays; displays may be outdated.	no classroom room libraries or					
	displays that are related to the current	displays that are related to the current	- Classroom arrangements may	activity centers					
	learning objectives.	learning objectives.	include some variety, including	- No student work is displayed.					
	- There are designated areas of the	- Most classrooms have reading corners or	activity centers and room libraries.	- There does not seem to be any					
	classrooms where topical displays,	activity centers.	- Limited student work is displayed	attempt to create a stimulating					
	book corners or activity centers are	- Recent student work of good quality is	and may be outdated.	classroom environment.					
	located.	neatly displayed.	- The SIP contains plans to improve	- The SIP contains no plans to					
	- A wide range of student work of very		classroom environments and there is	improve classroom environments					
	high quality is neatly displayed and		evidence of implementation.	or there is no evidence of					
	clearly labeled.			implementation of plans.					
1. At wha	at level did the MSET place your School?		•						
L	1. At what level did the MISET place your school:								

- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:

Managing teaching and learning resources

Using creativity to produce relevant teaching and learning resources

Using local resources and expertise to support student learning

Actively seeking sources of books and other supplementary reading materials

Managing the display of student work as motivation and a learning medium

Making effective use of new technologies, including Information and Communications Technology

- 2.3 All teachers manage their time effectively to maximize students' learning opportunities.
  - Lessons start and finish on time. Students are on-task and engaged in learning activities for most of the lesson time.
  - A variety or teaching and learning strategies are used, including strategies suitable for students with IEPs.
  - Student work demonstrates higherorder thinking, inquiry, observation, analysis and problem solving skills.

- Most lessons start and finish on time. Students are on-task and engaged in learning activities for most of the lesson time.
- A variety of teaching and learning strategies are used, including strategies suitable for students with IEPs.
- Student work demonstrates their engagement in a range of creative activities.

- Lessons sometimes start on time.
   Students are often off-task or waiting.
- There is little variety in the teaching and learning strategies used and includes mostly repetitive drill.
- Teachers do not take account of students' IEPs.
- Student work and assignments lack creativity and critical thinking opportunities.
- The SIP contains plans to improve teaching and learning strategies and there is evidence of implementation.

- Teachers are unorganized and not prepared.
- Lessons rarely start on time.
- Drills and rote instruction are used most of the time and there is little variety in instructional strategies.
- Teachers are not aware of students' IEPs.
- Student work is mostly fill in the blank and copying.
- The SIP contains no plans to improve teaching and learning strategies or there is no evidence of implementation.

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:

Effective management of behavior to maximize instructional time

Fostering independence, self-motivation and inquiry among students

Creating opportunities for independent, small group and large group activity

Creating opportunities for learning through games and activities

Encouraging innovation and creativity

Creating learning opportunities that address multiple learning objectives

Providing opportunities for applying learning in real-life situations

- All teachers actively participate with enthusiasm in a school-based appraisal program.
  - All teachers, individually or in groups, reflect upon and evaluate their performance and participate in professional development.
- Most teachers participate in a school-based appraisal program.
- Most teachers, individually or in groups, evaluate their performance and participate in professional development.
- A few teachers participate in a formal or informal appraisal program.
- A few teachers write some form of lesson evaluation.
- The SIP includes plans to improve teacher appraisal and there is evidence of implementation.
- Teachers do not participate in any form of appraisal program.
- Teachers do not write lesson evaluations.
- The SIP includes no plans to improve teacher appraisal or

	- Teachers regularly make thoughtful and accurate written evaluations of lesson effectiveness based on	- Most teachers write some form of lesson evaluation.		there is no evidence of implementation.				
1 At wha	children's learning outcomes. at level did the MSET place your School?							
	2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?							
	3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:							
		of s performance to the next level. Consid	er the following issues:					
	oring school effectiveness							
Improv	ving teaching and learning strategies							
Classro	Classroom observation							
Lesson	Lesson evaluation and planning							
Teach	Teacher mentoring, coaching and support							
Assign	ment of staff according to skills and experi	ence						

Professional development

Standard	3: Data Management			
Criteria	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3.1	- Comprehensive and accurate school data is collected on a regular schedule.     - Daily attendance data for students and	- School data is collected on a regular schedule Daily attendance data for students is collected and analyzed to produce daily, weekly and	<ul><li>Some school data is collected on a regular schedule.</li><li>Daily attendance data is usually</li></ul>	<ul><li>School data is not collected on a regular schedule.</li><li>Daily attendance data is not always</li></ul>
	teachers is collected and analyzed to produce weekly and annual percentages reports for individuals, classes and the	annual percentage reports.  - Reports for the last three years are available.  - Action is taken early to address attendance	collected The SIP includes plans to improve the collection and use of data and there is	collected.  - The SIP includes no plans to improve the collection and use of data or there
	whole school.  - Reports for the last three years or more	issues.	evidence of implementation.	is no evidence of implementation.
	are available Action is taken early to address attendance and drop-out issues.			
1. At what	t level did the MSET place your School?		1	
	re the major factors that have led to your scho	pol being placed at this level?		
Regular Data ar Affirma Use of C Statuto Statuto	r collection of reliable and accurate data nalysis to identify problems ative action to address issues reveled by data data to report progress to parents data to ensure statutory obligations bry instructional time of a minimum equivalent bry minimum instructional time of approximat	ely 360 minutes or 6 hours per day for Grades 1-12		
3.2	<ul> <li>All school data is centrally located and carefully filed.</li> <li>Files are backed-up or duplicated and are securely held.</li> <li>All data is checked, cleaned and updated at least weekly.</li> <li>Data is provided to The Public School System in a timely manner in both electronic and hardcopy format.</li> <li>Student IEPs are carefully filed, regularly consulted by class teachers and regularly updated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School data is centrally located and carefully filed.</li> <li>Data is regularly checked, cleaned and updated.</li> <li>Data is provided to The Public School System in a timely manner.</li> <li>Student IEPs are carefully filed and often consulted by class teachers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Most school data are centrally located and filed.</li> <li>Data is sometimes checked, cleaned and updated.</li> <li>Student IEPs are filed and sometimes consulted by class teachers.</li> <li>The SIP includes plans to improve the filing and accuracy of data and there is evidence of implementation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School data is not centrally located and is poorly filed.</li> <li>Data is rarely checked, cleaned and updated.</li> <li>Student IEPs are outdated and rarely consulted by class teachers.</li> <li>The SIP includes no plans to improve the filing and accuracy of data or there is no evidence of implementation.</li> </ul>
1. At what	t level did the MSET place your School?			
	re the major factors that have led to your scho			
		performance to the next level. Consider the following	ng issues:	
	t records			
	orage, backup and security			
	cy and compatibility of data			
Data ar	nd reporting procedures			

3.3	- All student performance data,	- All student performance data is collected	- Some student performance data is	- Student performance data is not
	including classroom assessment,	and analyzed by all teachers to identify	collected and analyzed by some	analyzed to identify individual
	teacher observations, student	individual students' strengths and	teachers to identify individual	students' strengths and
	portfolios and test data, is analyzed	weaknesses, including students with IEPs.	students' strengths and weaknesses.	weaknesses.
	by all teachers to identify individual	- Data analysis is used by teachers to adapt	- Data analysis is sometimes used by	- Data analysis is not used by
	students' strengths and weaknesses,	their teaching strategies and programs to	teachers to adapt their teaching	teachers to adapt their teaching
	including students with IEPs.	meet individual student needs.	strategies and programs to meet	strategies and programs to meet
	- Regular assessment meetings are		individual student needs.	individual student needs.
	held by teacher teams.		- The SIP includes plans to improve	- The SIP includes no plans to
	- Data analysis is used by teachers to		the use of student performance data	improve the use of student
	adapt their teaching strategies and		and there is evidence of	performance data or there is no
	programs to meet individual student		implementation.	evidence of implementation.
	needs.			

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:

Range of student assessment data including observation and performance based-assessment

Analysis of student assessment data

Sharing of student assessment data by teachers

Diagnostic assessment

Feedback

	Use o	f student assessment data to determine I	learning needs of individual students								
	Use o	f student assessment data to modify teac	ching programs								
	Use o	Use of student assessment data to determine teaching strategies									
Ī	3.4	- An extensive collection of school	- School data is used in management	- School data is rarely used in	- School data is not used in						
		data is used to guide all management	decision making.	management decision making.	management decision making.						
		decision making.	- Relevant data is shown and used in the	- Some data is shown and used in the	- Data is not shown nor used in the						
		- A full range of data, including	School Improvement Plan.	School Improvement Plan.	School Improvement Plan.						
		findings from surveys, is shown and	- Data analysis is used by the principal to	- Data analysis is sometimes used by	- Data analysis is not used by the						
		used in the School Improvement Plan.	support management decisions, such as	the principal to support	principal to support management						
		- Data analysis is used by the principal	teacher deployment.	management decisions.	decisions, such as teacher						
		to support management decisions,		- The SIP includes plans to improve	deployment.						
		such as teacher deployment, student		the use of data in management	- The SIP includes no plans to						
		placement and resource allocation.		decision making and there is	improve the use of data in						
				evidence of implementation.	management decision making or						
					there is no evidence of						
					implementation.						
Г											

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Data collection and analysis

Consultation with stakeholders, surveys and other information Identification of cause and effect Identification of trends
Comparison with data from comparable schools
Data-based monitoring and evaluation
Research and best practices

Criteria	4: National Curriculum Standards, Benchm Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
4.1	- Written school policy states that English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are taught throughout the school in accordance with the National Curriculum All lesson plans are based on the National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes.	- The school timetable, teacher records and lesson plans show that English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are taught in most classes throughout the school in accordance with the National Curriculum Most lesson plans are based on the National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes.	- The school timetable, teacher records and lesson plans show that English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are taught in some classes and sometimes in accordance with the National Curriculum Lesson Plans are not aligned with the standards and benchmarks The SIP contains plans to improve curriculum provision and there is evidence of implementation.	- English Language Arts, Marshallese Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Health and Science are not taught throughout the school in accordance with National Curriculum There are no lesson plans or existing plans are not being used - The SIP does not contain any plans to improve curriculum provision or there is no evidence of implementation.
1. At what level did the MSET place your School?				
2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?				
3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:				
Written curriculum policy				
Alignmen	nt and continuity between grades in curriculu of all National Curriculum subjects, includin			

Professional development in the use of National Curriculum documents

Use of National Curriculum documents for program and lesson planning

Students' progress reports to parents are based on National Curriculum benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes

Students progress reports to parents are based on National Carnetalani benchimarks and student Ecarning Outcomes				
4.2	- Student performance data shows that	- Student performance data shows that	- Student performance data shows that	- Student performance data
	the MISAT combined proficiency level is	the MISAT combined proficiency level	the MISAT combined proficiency level	shows that the MISAT combined
	above 30%.	is above 20%.	is above 10%.	proficiency level is below 10%.
	- MISAT combined proficiency	- MISAT combined proficiency	- MISAT combined Proficiency	- MISAT combined Proficiency
	Improvement is 10% or more.	Improvement is 5% or more.	Improvement is 1% or more.	Improvement is less than 1%.
	- Above 75% pass the High	- Above 50% pass the High School	- Above 25% pass the High	- Less than 25% pass the High
	School Entrance Test.	Entrance Test.	School Entrance Test.	School Entrance Test.
1 A+ who	at lovel did the MCET place your School?	_	_	·

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: MISATS data analysis Use of National Curriculum Standards, Benchmarks and Student Learning Outcomes to assess performance of students who do not take the MISATS School assessment and remediation to prepare students for the MISATS.

4.3	- Students in all grades have their own	- All students in all grades have their	- Most students in most grades have	- Few students in most grades have
	copies of updated textbooks for	own copies of textbooks for	their own copies of textbooks for	their own copies of textbooks for
	Marshallese Language Arts, English	Marshallese Language Arts, English	Marshallese Language Arts, English	Marshallese Language Arts, English
	Language Arts, Mathematics, Social	Language Arts, Mathematics, Social	Language Arts, Mathematics, Social	Language Arts, Mathematics, Social
	Studies, Health and Science.	Studies, Health and Science.	Studies, Health and Science	Studies, Health and Science.
	- All textbooks are aligned to the correct	- All textbooks are aligned to the	- Not all textbooks are aligned to the	- Textbooks are not aligned to the
	grade level or students are working	correct grade level and there is	correct grade level.	correct grade level.
	above grade level and there is clear	progression from one grade to the	- The average student: teacher ratio is	- The average student: teacher
	progression from one grade to the next.	next.	not more than 30:1.	ratio is more than 30:1.
	- Students have access to additional	- The average student: teacher ratio is	- The SIP contains plans to improve	- The SIP contains no plans to
	resources including on-line resources.	not more than 25:1.	access to textbooks or reduce the	improve access to textbooks or
	- The average student: teacher ratio is		student: teacher ratio and there is	reduce the student: teacher ratio.
	not more than 25:1.		evidence of implementation	

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Selection of 'designed for purpose' textbooks, such as English Language Arts textbooks designed for learners of English as a second language Use of 'designed for purpose' textbooks, such as English Language Arts textbooks designed for learners of English as a second language, at the appropriate grade level Selection of textbooks based on recommendations in National Curriculum documents Adaptation and use of supplementary materials designed for learners of English as a second language Effective use of teacher guides for lesson planning Use of supplementary materials such as websites and other ICT materials Student access to textbooks for homework School organization and teacher deployment

4.4	- A full timetable of extra-curricular	- Daily extra-curricular academic,	- Extra-curricular is limited to sports	- No extra-curricular academic,
	academic, sports, cultural, civic and	sports, cultural, civic and community	only and are conducted after school	sports, cultural, civic or community
	community activities are conducted	development activities are conducted	for both boys and girls.	activities are conducted after
	after school for both boys and girls.	after school for both boys and girls.	- The SIP contains plans to improve	school for both boys and girls.
	- Values such as fair play and healthy	- Values such as fair play and healthy	the provision of extra-curricular	- The SIP contains no plans to
	lifestyles are promoted.	lifestyles are promoted.	activities and there is evidence of	improve the provision of extra-
	- Students have received awards for		implementation.	curricular activities or there is no
	extra-curricular activities from local and			evidence of implementation.
	international bodies and organizations.			

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?

3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:
Fostering students' interest and motivation
Promoting values of fair play, leadership and civic mindedness
Promoting healthy lifestyles
Supporting cultural traditions and activities
Collaborating with stakeholders and other providers of extracurricular activities

Standard 5: School Campus, Classrooms and Facilities					
Criteria	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	
5.1	- The school has a written maintenance	- The school has a written maintenance	- The school has a written	- The SIP contains no maintenance	
	plan that sets out a schedule of routine	plan component in their SIP.	maintenance plan component in	plan.	
	cleaning, minor repairs, painting and	- Regular maintenance work is carried	their SIP	- No maintenance work has been	
	preventative maintenance.	out.	- There is evidence of some	carried out in the past 12 months.	
	- Records show that maintenance work is	- All potential and actual maintenance	recent basic maintenance work.	- No work orders have been sent	
	regularly carried out.	problems have been reported to PSS.	- Some maintenance problems	to PSS.	
	- The school has a written facilities		have been reported to PSS.		
	development plan that sets out a				
	schedule for major maintenance works,				
	refurbishment or rebuilding.				
	- Records and plans show that the				
	facilities development plan is actively				
	implemented.				
1. At what	1. At what level did the MSET place your School?				

- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:

Facilities are conducive to learning

Safety of students

Management and monitoring of responsible staff

Regular cleaning program

Routine and preventative maintenance

Facilities management

Collaboration with State Department of Education to provide maintenance and facilities development

Collaboration with stakeholders and community support

- The school campus and buildings are in poor condition Facilities are inadequate or unsafe.
•
e campus are - Facilities are inadequate or unsafe.
- Facilities are inaccessible to
lities are students with physical disabilities.
nts with - The SIP contains no plans to
improve the condition of the school
a plan to facilities.
I facilities.

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Use of school grounds and facilities as a learning tool Facilities reflect the school vision and mission

All stakeholders contribute to creating a safe and orderly learning environment The learning environment reflects the value placed on students The learning environment reflects the value placed on education There is a well-stocked central library or classroom libraries

- 5.3 Classroom environments are conducive to effective teaching and learning.
  - All classrooms are bright, well ventilated and equipped with adequate lighting and electrical outlets.

Collaboration with stakeholders and community support

- Electrical fittings are in good condition and safe.
- All classrooms have high-quality student and teacher furniture, including adequate storage and display.
- Classroom environments are somewhat conducive to effective teaching and learning.
- Most classrooms are bright, well ventilated and equipped with adequate lighting and electrical outlets.
- Electrical fittings are in good condition and safe.
- Classrooms are adequately furnished, equipped and maintained.

- Classroom environments are poor and not entirely conducive to effective teaching and learning.
- Classrooms are adequately lit and ventilated.
- Some electrical fittings are unsafe.
- Classroom furniture is adequate but in poor condition.
- Classroom environments are detrimental to teaching and learning.
- Classrooms are dark and not well ventilated
- Electrical outlets are unsafe, do not work or absent.
- School furniture is inadequate and unsafe.
- The SIP contains no plans to improve classroom environments

- 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?
- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Collaboration with RMI PSS to provide adequate furniture Inventory and management of furniture Collaboration with RMI PSS to provide adequate facilities Collaboration with stakeholders and community support Student and staff health and safety
- Food storage and preparation areas are
   EPA and PHD certified.
  - All food handlers are trained and certified by EPA and PHD.
  - EPA and PHD certificates are clearly displayed.
  - There is abundant safe drinking water.
  - Staff and student toilet facilities are safe and clean, with hand wash basins, paper and soap.
  - There is an emergency evacuation plan and it is practiced regularly throughout the year.

- Food storage and preparation areas are EPA and PHD certified.
- Most food handlers are trained and certified by EPA and PHD.
- Some EPA and PHD certificates are displayed.
- There is access to adequate safe drinking water.
- There are adequate safe and clean toilet facilities for students and staff.
- There is an emergency evacuation plan and it is practiced at least twice per year.

- Food storage and preparation areas are clean but not EPA and PHD certified.
- Some food handlers are trained and certified by EPA and PHD.
- Some EPA and PHD certificates can be found.
- There is access to some safe drinking water or the SIP contains a plan to provide water.
- Toilet facilities for students and staff are barely adequate, but the SIP contains an improvement plan.
- There is an emergency evacuation plan but it has not been practiced in the last year.

- Food storage and preparation areas not EPA and PHD certified and are not kept clean.
- Food handlers are not trained and certified by EPA and PHD.
- There is no access to safe drinking water.
- Toilet facilities for students and staff are inadequate, unsafe and unsanitary.
- There is no emergency evacuation plan
- The SIP contains no plans to improve basic facilities.

### 1. At what level did the MSET place your School?

- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues:

Student and staff health and safety

Fostering hygiene and health education

Compliance with statutory EPA requirements

Relationship between poor toilet and water facilities and poor attendance

Maintenance and cleaning

Collaboration with RMI PSS to provide adequate facilities

Collaboration with stakeholders and community support

Standard 6: School Improvement Planning				
Criteria	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
6.1	- The School Improvement Plan has been developed and evaluated in accordance with the National Guidelines The School Improvement Plan has been developed by a school improvement team that includes all teachers, parents, students, community members and other stakeholders who wished to be involved The SIP is reviewed on a regular basis There is strong evidence that student achievement is improving as a result of the SIP.	- The School Improvement Plan has been developed and evaluated largely in accordance with the National Guidelines The School Improvement Plan has been developed by a school improvement team that includes all teachers, parents, students, community members and other stakeholders who wish to be involved The SIP is showing some signs of improving student achievement.	- The School Improvement Plan has been developed and evaluated in accordance with some of the National Guidelines The School Improvement Plan has been developed by a school improvement team but teachers, parents, students, community members and other stakeholders were not all represented There is a plan to improve the SIP process and there is some evidence of implementation.	- The School Improvement Plan has not been developed and evaluated in accordance with the National Guidelines The School Improvement Plan has not been developed by a school improvement team There is no plan to improve the SIP process or there is no evidence of implementation of such a plan.

- 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level?
- 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Collaboration and team-work in School Improvement Planning Community participation in School Improvement Planning Meaningful roles for stakeholders in decision-making and implementation

6.2	- The school principal plays a key	- The school principal plays an	- The school principal did not play a	- The school principal did not
	leadership role in the development and	important role in the development and	leadership role in the development	play any role in the development
	implementation of the SIP.	implementation of the SIP.	and implementation of the SIP.	and implementation of the
	- The SIP contains a Vision and Mission.	- The SIP contains a Vision and Mission.	- The SIP is not effectively	School Improvement Plan.
	- The mission and Vision are well known	- The Vision and Mission are well	implemented and monitored.	- The Plan does not contain a
	and shared by the school community.	known by the school community.	- The SIP contains a Vision and	Vision and Mission.
	- The SIP is effectively implemented and	- The SIP is well implemented and	Mission but these are not known by	- The Plan is not being
	monitored.	monitored.	the school community.	implemented or monitored.
	- The actions of staff members and		- There is a plan to improve SIP	- There is no plan to improve SIP
	members of the school community show		leadership, implementation and	leadership, implementation and
	commitment to implementing the SIP.		monitoring and there is evidence of	monitoring or there is no
	·		implementation.	evidence of implementation of
				such a plan.

2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level? 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Leadership, vision and responsibility Fostering ownership of the SIP SIP monitoring, evaluation and review procedures - The School Improvement Plan contains 6.3 - The School Improvement Plan - The School Improvement Plan - The School Improvement Plan comprehensive data analysis of student contains general data analysis of contains limited data analysis of contains no data analysis of performance, attendance, resources and student performance, attendance, student performance, attendance, student performance, school management, over a period of at resources and school management, resources and school management. attendance, resources and least three years, noting school strengths over at least the last 3 years, noting - There is a plan to improve data school management. and weaknesses. school strengths and weaknesses. analysis and there is evidence of - There is no plan to improve - Data analysis is comprehensive and is - Data analysis is presented in graphical implementation. data analysis or there is no presented in graphical format. format. evidence of implementation of - Goals and objectives are clearly based on - Goals and objectives are based on such a plan. data analysis. data analysis. 1. At what level did the MSET place your School? 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level? 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Data collection and analysis Data-based decision making - The School Improvement Plan 6.4 - The School Improvement Plan contains - The School Improvement Plan - The School Improvement Plan does contains detailed planning matrices for some detailed planning for all activities, does not contain Matrices for not contain any Student Achievement all activities, with inputs, timelines, with inputs, timelines, outputs and all activities. Goals and Objectives or Activity outputs and outcomes. outcomes. - There is a plan to improve Planning Matrices. - There is no plan to improve Goals. - The SIP is posted in a public place and - Plan activities are regularly monitored. Goals, Objectives and Activity regular monitoring reports are issued Planning and there is evidence Objectives and Activity Planning or of implementation. there is no evidence of implementation to the community. of such a plan. 1. At what level did the MSET place your School? 2. What are the major factors that have led to your school being placed at this level? 3. Outline in detail how you plan to raise your school's performance to the next level. Consider the following issues: Focus on student learning

Effective planning and activity management

Collaboration with the RMI PSS

Awareness and understanding of the SIP among stakeholders

The SIP results in measurable improvements in student academic performance

#### **Chapter 7: Special Measures**

The Commissioner of Education has the authority to place schools with four or more Stage One criteria determinations at Level 1 under Special Measures. The Commissioner of Education shall consult with the RMI Accreditation Committee on providing assistance to schools under Special Measures for the development of a School Turnaround Plan.

A School Turnaround Plan will be implemented for 1 year and will focus strongly on the most critical areas of the Stage One criteria determinations. The School Turnaround Plan may include measures such as:

Schools under Special Measures shall be re-evaluated after one year. If the school receives four or more Stage One determinations at Level 1, it will be again placed under Special Measures. Schools may remain under Special Measures for a maximum period of three years. After three years, if the School Turnaround Plan has not elevated the school out of Special Measures, the Commissioner of Education will make a recommendation to the Minister that the school principal/headteacher and all teachers be replaced. An unaccredited school will not be able to provide the standard of education that all RMI students are entitled to under the PL. 1991-125, and unaccredited schools are largely the product of incompetent principals/headteachers and classroom teachers, therefore they shall be replaced.